

United States Patent and Trademark Office

m

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,797	03/26/2004	Thomas J. Sisk	60,137-247	9772
	7590 03/30/2007 ASKEY & OLDS, P.C.	EXAMINER		
400 WEST MAPLE ROAD			NGUYEN, TUAN N	
SUITE 350 BIRMINGHAN	л, MI 48009		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3751	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/810,797	SISK, THOMAS	SISK, THOMAS J.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Tuan N. Nguyen	3751			

			1 •		
	Tuan N. Nguyen	3751			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 27 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply man	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	•				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti	ion.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
AMENDMENTS	·				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	,		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		Il be entered and an e	explanation of		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		,			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.		n condition for allowar	nce because:		
12. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
13. Other:					
	•	Tuan Nguyen Primary Examiner	-		
		A - 11-14/27E4			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Application No. 10/810,797

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claim language is broad, which is still met by the prior applied in the office action mailed 1/5/07. It appears that the Applicant is interpreting the Primucci reference too narrow.